Rubric for Evaluating Program Assessment Reports: Template I & Curriculum Map

Score	No evidence	Beginning	Developing	Established
Assessment Process	No evidence or insufficient information was provided	- Program engages in little or no review of student performance on the PLOs - Results of assessment are not discussed or are minimally discussed among faculty and stakeholder engagement is absent or limited	- Program reviews student performance against outcomes but not on a regular or routinized basis - Results of assessment are discussed, among faculty with minimal engagement of other stakeholders (staff, students, alumni, and/or outside professionals of the field)	- Program has a regular or established process for reviewing student performance against outcomes (i.e., routinized process) - Broad-based engagement of faculty and instructional staff - Results of assessment are discussed among faculty and shared on a regular basis with other stakeholders (staff, students, alumni, and/or outside professionals of the field) as appropriate - The program may have an especially distinctive, creative, or innovative way of approaching assessment
Continuous Improvement	- No curriculum, instructional, or programmatic changes were made - No reflection on action items from the prior PAR	- Limited description or examples of how any action plan has had an impact on the program's development or performance - The program did not make at least one substantive curriculum, instructional, or programmatic change - Gaps or challenges to the assessment process identified in the last report may not be fully addressed - Ratings of no evidence or beginning from the last review have not been addressed	- Improvements are described and examples are provided that draw general connections to previous action plans - The program made at least one substantive curricular, instructional, or programmatic change - Clear rationale is not provided for newly identified actions - Gaps or challenges to the assessment process identified in the last report may not be fully addressed - Ratings of No Evidence or Beginning from the last review were at least partially addressed	- The program implemented 2-4 curricular, instructional or programmatic actions or next steps from its previous report; specific improvements are described and examples are provided - Actions from the prior report that are still in progress, were not addressed, or were eliminated / replaced are briefly described - Where applicable, newly introduced actions (i.e., other improvements made based on assessment of student learning) were identified and clear rationale for their introduction was provided - Gaps or challenges to the assessment process identified in the last report or self-identified improvements were addressed - Ratings of No Evidence or Beginning from the last review were specifically addressed (i.e., actions were taken to move the program forward)
Curriculum Map	No curriculum map was provided	- A limited number of PLOs are mapped to multiple learning opportunities in the curriculum OR all of the PLOs are mapped to only one required course or experience - UG Programs Only: Program has not mapped the connections between the five core University Learning Outcomes and its curriculum	- A majority of the PLOs are mapped to multiple learning opportunities in the curriculum - Map does not identify degree of emphasis placed on PLOs in the relevant courses OR the level of competency students will achieve in mapped courses - *UG Programs Only*: Program has identified connections between the five core University Learning Outcomes and its	- All of the PLOs are mapped to multiple learning opportunities in the curriculum - Curriculum map demonstrates a pattern of courses that fosters student achievement of each PLO - Curriculum map identifies the degree of emphasis placed on PLOs in the relevant courses OR defines the level of competency students will achieve in mapped courses. - Other learning experience (e.g., internships, service-learning, etc.) may be identified

			curriculum in the map though the narrative description may not be complete	- *UG Programs Only*: Program has identified connections between the five core University Learning Outcomes and its curriculum. The program's narrative includes a discussion of how the program helps cultivate students' development of the five University Learning Outcomes

Rubric for Evaluating Program Assessment Reports: Template II (updated to reflect PAR adjustments)

Score	No evidence	Beginning	Developing	Established
Program Intended Learning Outcomes * Learner-centered statements of what students will know, do, and become as a result of completing the program (e.g., students will [action verb]). See Bloom's Taxonomy.	No evidence presented of intended learning outcomes	- PLOs not functional (e.g. incomplete, overly detailed, disorganized, or not measurable) - Describe a process or delivery of education (i.e., what the instructor does for students) rather than intended student learning (i.e., what the intended result is to be) - Do not address the breadth of knowledge, skills, or services associated with the cumulative effect of the program	- Written in a way that they can be measured - Most outcomes are clearly defined or the meaning is easily discernible - Most outcomes are written as learner-centered statements - Encompass the mission of the program and/or the central principles of the discipline - Focus is too narrow to represent the cumulative effect of the program	- Written in a way that they can be measured - All outcomes are written as learner-centered statements with action verbs - The outcomes are clearly defined - Encompass program, college, and university mission and goals - Align with professional standards, as appropriate. - Focus on the cumulative effect of the program
Measures (the evidence that is used to evaluate outcomes achievement)	No evidence presented of measures used	- Measures apply to too many outcomes at once - Few or no direct measures used. - Methods are mismatched, inappropriate, or otherwise do not provide evidence linked to the intended learning outcomes	- At least one measure per outcome - A variety of direct and indirect measures used to assess outcomes - The evidence used is mostly linked to the intended outcomes - Measures section lacks clear description and detail	- Multiple measures for at least some outcomes - Direct and indirect measures used; emphasis on direct (i.e., data gathered is primarily focused on student learning activities) - Purposeful and clear how results could be used for program improvement - Measures section is described in sufficient detail
Key Findings	No findings or analysis presented	- Results/findings lack specificity. - Lack of connection between the outcomes, the data gathered, and the results reported - Degree of proficiency met is unclear	- Some findings are reported that address outcomes and evaluate student achievement of them. - Degree of proficiency met is included	- Complete, concise, and well organized; provides statements summarizing the data finding(s), the meanings, and conclusions based on these finding(s) - Aligned with proficiency targets as appropriate - Includes interpretation of the degree to which desired outcomes were met - Compares new findings with past results, where appropriate

Actions Taken or Planned based on Findings * NOTE: You will refer back to these action items in your next PAR.	No evidence presented of actions taken or planned	- Limited evidence that findings from assessment have been used to improve the curriculum, individual courses, pedagogy, etc. - No actions are documented; or there are too many plans to reasonably manage	- Some evidence that findings from assessment have been used to improve the curriculum, individual courses, pedagogy, etc. - At least one concrete action has been documented or planned with relevant details, timelines, etc.	- Actions or plans have been implemented and documented and/or detailed plans for implementation have been provided - Actions or plans clearly follow from assessment results and state directly which finding(s) motivated the action - Actions or plans define logical "next steps"