

Boise State University Annual Supervisor/Manager Performance Evaluation

Employee Name:	Employee ID:	Evaluation P	Period: to			
		Evaluation Meeting Date				
College (if applicable):						
Supervisor/Manager:	Supervisor/Manager Title:	Supervisor/N	Aanager Phone:			
Type of Review:						
☐ Annual Review	☐ Promotion Probation	on (Classified Employ	rees Only)			
☐ Entrance Probation (Classified Empl	oyees Only)	*				
	☐ Special Evaluation	Required/Request	ted – Date:			
*This special evaluation is being issued to ensure the evaluation is due (Professional), will be eligible to re a compensation increase, is not a performance evaluationary requirements are met, the supervisor with the supervisor Wanager Performance St	eceive a Change in Employee Compensation (CEC) ation, and is not indicative of successful completion. Ill complete a full evaluation. Complete Overall Ran	for the new fiscal year of the probationary p	ar. This form does not guarantee period. At such time as the			
Performance Standard: Standards of Conduct Definition: Describes how well the manager sets clear expectations, including effective explanations and feedback /coaching for staff, to insure employee acceptance and adherence to the University's Standards of Conduct. The manager follows, supports, champions and models the following University Standards of Conduct: 1. Workplace Conduct: Acts fairly, collaboratively, and honestly in personal and group interactions and helps create and maintain a non-discriminatory, harassment free, drug/alcohol free, and respectful workplace. Ensures a safe work environment by adopting a proactive, cooperative attitude toward health and safety. 2. Compliance: Understands and adheres to State and Federal laws and rules as well as complying with University policies and other forms of guidance. Makes proper referrals for requests for information from the media and elected officials. Uses acceptable processes (University policies and procedures, chain of command, etc.) to bring issues to management's attention to insure compliance. Remains engaged through issue resolution. 3. Financial Stewardship: Insures accurate financial transactions and reports and maintenance of internal controls. Utilizes University resources in an effective manner, identifies, discloses, and avoids potential conflict of interest, and reports waste, fraud and/or abuse. Maintains the duty and limits of confidentiality, including protecting the privacy of, and access to, records. 4. Individual Responsibility and Accountability: Demonstrates sound judgment, accepts responsibility, and holds themselves accountable for meeting the highest standards of service as well as established performance standards and developmental objectives.						
Performance Standard: Managing Po- Definition: Describes how well the supervactive and concrete assistance and instruction about performance. The employee demonst firmly and appropriately with performance evaluations in a timely and constructive management.	isor/manager sets clear, consistent job experons, and provides effective and timely feedbrates fair dealings with employees. The emproblems. The employee conducts staff per	ctations, gives back /coaching ployee deals	Rating: ☐ (3) Exemplary ☐ (2) Exceeds ☐ (1) Achieves ☐ (0) Does Not Achieve			

Performance Standard: Communication	Rating:				
Definition: Describes how effectively the supervisor/manager shares information, builds	☐ (3) Exemplary				
relationships, and influences positive outcomes. The employee demonstrates good collaboration and	☐ (2) Exceeds				
listening skills and effective verbal and written communication skills. This performance standard	☐ (1) Achieves				
should describe how effectively the supervisor/manager shares information, builds relationships, and	☐ (0) Does Not Achieve				
influences positive outcomes.	. ,				
Performance Standard: Decision Making/Problem Solving	Rating:				
Definition: Describes how well the supervisor/manager makes timely and rational decisions based on	☐ (3) Exemplary				
analysis of relevant information/data. The employee accepts responsibility for decisions and takes	☐ (2) Exceeds				
proper action when necessary.	☐ (1) Achieves				
	☐ (0) Does Not Achieve				
Performance Standard: Results Focus	Rating:				
Definition: Describes how well the supervisor/manager targets and achieves expected outcomes,	☐ (3) Exemplary				
established performance expectations and goals, supports and contributes to continual quality	\square (2) Exceeds				
improvements that support Boise State University strategic vision and department mission and goals.	\square (1) Achieves				
	☐ (0) Does Not Achieve				
D f	· /				
Performance Standard: Customer Focus	Rating:				
Definition: Describes how well the supervisor/manager fosters and models a commitment to customer	\square (3) Exemplary				
service, builds customer confidence and increases customer satisfaction.	\square (2) Exceeds				
	\Box (1) Achieves				
	\square (0) Does Not Achieve				
Performance Standard: Work Environment/Safety	Rating:				
Definition: Describes how well the supervisor/manager promotes and supports a respectful	☐ (3) Exemplary				
workplace; complies with and supports general conditions of employment, EEO, security, and	☐ (2) Exceeds				
workplace safety policies. The employee models ethical behavior and decision-making and ensures	☐ (1) Achieves				
compliance with appropriate federal, state laws, SBOE and University policies and procedures.	□ (0) Does Not Achieve				
Additional Employee Performance Standards:					
Use this section to define additional job related performance standards not covered above and evaluate e	mployee's performance				
against established standards (as outlined above).	1 7 1				
Performance Standard: Technical Duties	Rating:				
Definition: Describes how well the supervisor/manager performs their technical duties related to their	☐ (3) Exemplary				
position (include department specific requirements).	☐ (2) Exceeds				
	☐ (1) Achieves				
	☐ (0) Does Not Achieve				
Performance Standard: Employee Development	Rating:				
Definition: List professional development, workshops and training. This may include on-the-job	☐ Achieved				
training sessions attended for the previous rating period.	☐ Not Achieved				
	☐ Not Applicable				
	□ Not Applicable				
Overall Performance Rating:					
g .					
(3) Exemplary Performance					
(2) Exceeds Expectations Performance (Solid Sustained)					
(1) Achieves Performance Standards					
(0) Does Not Achieve Performance Standards (Supervisor: This rating requires consultation with your HI					
delivery. Please contact Human Resources at 208-426-1616 to schedule a consultation and to coordinate a follow-up simprovement plan).	special evaluation and performance				

R

Revised: 08/2022					
Supervisor Summary Comments Describe specific achievements and contributions this employee has made to each performance standard referenced above. Include areas needing performance improvement and include specific performance expectations and time frames needed to achieve performance standards. (Ratings of 0 or 3 must include specific evaluation comments to justify or clarify performance ratings.):					

Emmlores Commontes
Employee Comments: Please see attached self-evaluation for comments, accomplishments and goals.

Next Review Period

Performance Objectives: Use the following section to record performance objectives for the next review period. Include Performance measure, standards and timeframes as appropriate.					
Objectives:					

Employee Development Plan: This section should be completed after employee and manager have agreed upon areas of development or improvement needed and/or required as related to upcoming standards. It should include developmental objectives, corresponding development activities (on the job, formal training, workshops, conferences, etc.), measurements, and time frames for completion.					
Developmental Objectives:					

Signature Section: Signature acknowledges: 1.) Review/update of PDQ or JDQ; and 2.) Discussion of evaluation (does not necessarily imply agreement). If job description has changed please email the signed, updated document to: compensation@boisestate.edu. The immediate supervisor, chair, department director/dean must sign this document. Departments must check with the Provost/Vice President/President to determine if next review level is required.

Employee (Print Name and Sign)	Date	First Level Supervisor	Date
Second Level Supervisor (Print Name, Title, and Sign)			Date
Third Level Supervisor (Print Name, Title, and Sign)			Date

Please return completed evaluation to: evaluations@boisestate.edu or Human Resources ● 2225 W University Drive Capitol Village #3 ● Boise, Idaho 83725-1265